United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V.			ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Hossam Helmy			Case Number: 1:07 MJ 345
facts re	In a equire	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 the detention of the defendant pending trial in this	142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense describ offense state or local offense that would have jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § an offense for which the maximum sentence	
	(3)	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparate The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed imprisonment for the offense described in finding Findings Nos. (1),(2) and (3) establish a rebuttable	ed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state since the date of conviction release of the defendant from
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defend	
	(2)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumption of	of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions endant as required and the safety of the community.
×		There is a serious risk that the defendant will not	te Findings (B) appear. anger the safety of another person or the community.
	l fin		nent of Reasons for Detention itted at the hearing establish by a preponderance of the evidence that
The De pro crir	e evid fenda osecut me. C	ence presented at the preliminary hearing, both tes nt, who is not a citizen of the US, has announced o ion for the arson. He has also attempted to bribe w	perty in interstate commerce by fire, 18 USC sec. 844(i), a crime of violence. Stimonial and physical, strongly supports probable cause of defendant's guilt. In more than one occasion his intention to flee the country to avoid witnesses to buy their silence or to pay innocent people to plead guilty to the experson with low impulse control. He presents a serious risk of addressed by measures short detention.
appeal the Un	tions f I. The iited S	e defendant is committed to the custody of the Attor acility separate, to the extent practicable, from pers defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opporture	ons Regarding Detention rney General or his designated representative for confinement in a sons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending nity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of ent, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the ppearance in connection with a court proceeding.
June 2	26, 20		/s/ Joseph G. Scoville
Date			Signature of Judge
			Joseph G. Scoville, United States Magistrate Judge
			Name and Title of Judge